



The New York Appellate First Department's April 20TH, 2023 Order on Motion #01224 Gildred et al vs Michael Foster et al "**A Hands Down Win for The Supreme Court**".

Re: "Letters of Remissions to The Court."

Motion #1224 returned a ruling 3 weeks into motion submission. The ruling on motion #1224 "A delayed but Profound Dosage of Psychotic Enema".

The motion cites:

- a). Prospects of Corruption (irrespective) the lower Courts Justice his Honorable Nock Levi Louis.
- b). In reflective filings: An Affluent Plaintiff with Ambitious Counsels (Cooley Lawyer Team Rafkin PLLC., Partners Jennifer Bogue & Rafkin Alan Seth). Rafkin PLLC's primary focus in practice is Employment Litigation. Rafkin holds licenses to practice in New York, California, and Washington DC.
- c). Michael Foster's eccentric defenses deliberated as an individual with propensities to evade threats garnered by the prospects of content misappropriations in a series of cryptic rulings by the Lower Court, Tal Hann's certified Stenographers minutes, Rafkin's Letters To A Judge, The Supreme Court's Clerk Notes on Gildred et al's Non - Motion Order by the Court to Upload "An Appropriate Bill of Costs".

The Lower Court's content definitions even awarded Plaintiffs in an Order Citing **Gildred et al as CREDITORS** and **FOSTER as a DEBTOR** and A Decision Order on Motion #1224 BY The First Appellate Division equivalent to the Lower Court's early conclusions in an Order: Judge The Honorable Gerald Lebovits.

Foster's request for an RJJ in April of 2017 to Gildred's curated Summons & Complaints - 6 years Later:

To date Foster filings in Motion #1224 in its ruling returned plausibility to the Supreme Courts Uniform approach to filings of non-factual, proportionately irrelevant, and frivolous content.

The Motion ruling returned the equivalent of a "Legal Proforma" in a "Guardian Litem" and a decisive defense by the Court to dissuade Affluent Legal Counsels with ambitions to earn recognition from the New York and US Supreme Courts for illegitimate purposes and self-gratitude.

Plaintiff Gildred et al in a "The Stipulation Order" issued a Signature affirming Mr. Philip T. Gildred an ambitious entrepreneur of San Diego California in which the contents highlights in clear distinctions a proxy to Innocuous Fraud.

A settlement disclosure between the parties cites Mr. & Mrs Gildred, Gildred Development, FMT Consultants - others and The Gildred Family and Businesses of San Diego California formally own by Mr. Gildred vs Michael Foster in articles of "Title & Content" signed by Mr. gildred.

In the affirmation before the Court Gildred Mrs. & Mr., assuaged (Non-Case Related Intellectual Property Contents) to include the De-publishing of FostersNet.com, MetroCommuteSecurity.com, TipsOnly.com, FamousNewYorker.com, NewYorkTango.org, and other Foster IP holdings subsequent to vacatures and DePublications of Fosters Economical Services; ipid.name, MinorityUnion.com, GoRooGle.com, DanceWithMe.org, MCSI.camera, TekCtek.com, PVTMobile.com, DefenseDataRecovery.com, DeliveryDelivery.nyc, QCode.icu, QCodeMe.com, eWaterhouse.com, NYSuperTickets.com, AllNewYorker.com, ManhattanEpages.com, WaterHouse.nyc, Mhzweb.com, PP.Rentals, and others completely unrelated Foster's IP such as Foster.net, CarolinaGildred.com, TomGildred.info, EmeraldTextiles.info, Twitter.com/GildredTom, Twitter.com/FostersNet, Facebook.com/MichaelTango.

Acknowledgments:

Plaintiffs Gildred et al subsequently sort to established iied, defamation, harassment, and extortion. In Gildred filings it held a defendant in Foster as "an individual". Gildred in its papers defined Foster as an individual to have impersonated Mr. Gildred, hacked and attempted to hack Mr. Gildred Facebook account, made false statements about his sexual accounts with Mr. Gildred spouse.

Gildred in its papers highlighted Mr. Foster's private parts descriptively with the use of verbs by Gildred to formally connect Mr. Gildred through plaintiff's choice of graphic but repugnant verbiage directing Mr. Gildred to Mr. Foster's Private Parts.

On January 20Th 2020 days after Gildred with Counsels close call to which thinly escaped a disciplinary action foremost, by the Courts Chief Justice her Honorable Judge Kaplan over conflict to corruption reported by the Lower Courts's JHO.

Nonetheless in 3 days following Gildred by its Counsels Jennifer Bogue and Seth Rafkin earned the Lower Courts wright to implement a fully executed "Stipulation of Settlement Agreement".

Malicious Intent:

“Professionals who are invested with the experience and fortune to treat individuals with a Mental illness are required first to offer healthcare methods and solutions” Plaintiffs in Gildred v Foster choose rather the pursuit of a governmental institution for the purpose of "Supplemental Racketeering".

Immediately after the hearing on Jan.20.2020 Foster sort to refute the Stipulation agreement so ordered by the Court on certain grounds alluding to fraud and profound lack of enforceability which the Court remanded.

But Gildred's letters to the Court further sort to imply the Court Clerk & Judge as complicit to innocuous Fraud in statements with Foster at the bench as plaintiffs were out side of the Court.

The Lower Courts' final application cites "**An Appropriate Bill of Costs**" and further compensated Gildred et al with the Title of **Creditor**.

THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK FIRST APPELLATE DIVISION in Motion #01224 April 20Th Ruling confirms:

An affluent plaintiff with counsels - Gildred's ambitious intent to prolonged adjudications for attention purposes can be seen as reflected by its Counsels Rafkin PLLC affidavits to Mot.Seq.#01224.

Gildred's affidavits in Appellate First Departments Motion Seq.#01224 invited direct contempt (legal larceny) rendering the hopes of an appeals perfection a must. Plaintiffs ultimate hopes to continue its version of lawful practice have ended. Plaintiff is yet to submit to the Lower Courts' Clerk "**An Appropriate Bill of Costs**".

Prose wishes to acknowledge:

- 1). The removal, withdrawal-suspension of the New York State Attorney General's Investigation Report ID#.
- 2). 5 years to this Motion #01224 April,20Th 2023 rule Fostersnet.com and other platform related virtual business ID suffered content specific deliberations, suspensions and in three important cases a complete loss of intellectual property: GyroPizza.com, GetFesco.com, and VirtualTBS.com, complications implicit with relative causes to Prose Mother's death and a multitude of out of court malicious tactics of defamation to Prose inherent character personal, social and professional.
- 3). Ahead of this motion ruling its inviting for Michael Foster to finally continue with each iPiD development concept from where its been left off consistent with a 6 year delay as a result of Gildred overall inconsistent and unnecessary filings in the Lower New York Supreme Court.

Commendations:

The Lower Court did not ere in each of its rulings though subsequently its approach definitively affirms legal blemishes which may continue to exist. (Ambitious attorneys and affluent professional litigants may continue by letters to the court).

The Lower Court did indeed corral questionable unlawful intent - let me sit with good "**PEOPLE**" is a quote consistent with affluent suburbanites relative to the San Diego California appellate courts.

The Lower Court however correctly assert Prose Defendant Disciplines as Military Disciplinary Strengths given to reasons for its harsh decisions, its use of expletives with prose at the bench and its rough commands in conferences aimed at prose and its orders which are bias from a non-military point of view.

The Lower Courts actions were not fatal per se on legal errors. However, by plaintiff own public statements reported in newspapers with the intent to defame Foster as prose among other things plaintiff statements of

False Statements in print publications such as “Foster’s Impersonated Tom Gildred” and by plaintiffs’ own affidavits in filings “Prose became Unhinged” and created "TomGildred.info" etc.

These statements however did not influence the Lower Courts' final Order "**CASE DISPOSED**". The Lower Court is not in deliberations or anxious of a JudgeNock.com or an “**unhinged defendant**” as attributed by Gildred’s summons and in its complaints. In Gildred's dramatic false police reports, and Gildred’s fears of statements Foster made inherently to “Teach Gildred a Lesson”.

Finally, Rafkin PLLC respectively will continue perhaps with some measure of of legal course at its own risks assessments levels if any, and when it directs each additional letter to a New York Supreme Court Judge or an Appellate Court of California, on any of its concerns for legal proceedings it will seriously consider the plausibility of each outcome.

Prose deliberates only that plaintiff will not seek to implicate the Court on concerns of corruption. Albeit the only downsides to Gildred's filings is to begin again right from where it started.

Forward.

Sincerely

Michael Foster



X-Prose Defendant The New York Supreme Court

Index#:153554/2017 #2022-01461

128 E BWDY Unit# 260

New York, NY 10002

cc: Rafkin PLLC

A new order was published by the App. Div. First Dept. on the below
Our Contact Information: 260 52nd Street, Mezz. J Brooklyn, NY 11220

matter: **Carolina Gildred v. Michael Foster**

2022-01461